

Appl. No. : 10/556,129  
Filed : November 9, 2005

**REMARKS**

The summary section in the specification has been amended to describe a brief technical feature by moving paragraph [0006] to the section of "Background Technology", and paragraph [0014]-[0017] to the section of "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention". The section title "Effects of the Invention" has been deleted. The specification has also been amended to correct informalities. Definitions of CRTs, ELs, TV, PDAs and SEM have been added. In section [0013], the article of "an convex-concave" has been changed to "a convex-concave".

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-8, and 10-12 have been amended to correct grammatical errors and to establish proper antecedent basis.

No new matter has been added. Applicant respectfully requests entry of the amendments and prompt allowance of the application in view of the amendments and the following remarks.

**Information Disclosure Statement**

The Office Action states: "the listing of references in the specification is not a proper disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. Applicant confirms that the references described in the specification were already submitted and made of record.

**Specification**

The summary of the invention has been objected to because it is too long and contained numerous details of the inventive device; and it compares the inventive device to the prior art.

Paragraph [0006] has been moved to the section of "Background Technology". Paragraph [0014]-[0017] have been moved to the section of "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention". The section of "the summary of the invention" now provides a brief technical feature of the claimed invention.

The disclosure is further objected to because of the informalities. Definitions for CRTs, ELs, TV, PDAs and SEM have been added. In section [0013], the article of "an convex-concave" has been changed to "a convex-concave". Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objections.

Appl. No. : 10/556,129  
Filed : November 9, 2005

Claim Objections

Claims 1-9 have been objected to because of the informalities.

In claim 1, the phrase “bowl-shaped fine resin particles having a concaved section at the particle center”(lines 3-4) has been amended to -- bowl-shaped fine resin particles each having a concaved section at its particle center --. The phrase “the bowl-shaped fine resin particle”(line 5) has been amended to -- each of the bowl-shaped fine resin particles --. A period has been added at the end of the claim.

In claim 2, the phrase “said spherical fine resin particle”(line 2) has been amended to -- each of said spherical fine resin particles --. The phrase “said bowl-shaped fine resin particle”(lines 2-3) has been amended to -- each of said bowl-shaped fine resin particles --. A period has been added at the end of the claim. The article in “the refractive index  $n_y$ ” has been changed to -- a refractive index  $n_y$  -- to establish a proper antecedent basis.

In claim 3, the terms “the average particle size Dy” and “the average particle size Dz” have been changed to -- an average particle size Dy -- and -- an average particle size Dz -- to establish a proper antecedent basis.

In each of claims 4 and 10, a period has been added at the end of the claim.

In claim 4, the terms “the average particle size Dy” and “the average particle size Dz” have been changed to -- an average particle size Dy -- and -- an average particle size Dz -- to establish a proper antecedent basis.

In claim 6, the term “an convex-concave surface” has been changed to -- a convex-concave surface --.

In each of claims 7 and 8, the phrase “said bowl-shaped fine resin particle” has been changed to -- each of said bowl-shaped fine resin particles --.

In claim 8, the terms “the average particle size” and “the height” have been changed to “an average particle size” and “a height” to establish a proper antecedent basis.

In claim 11, the phrase “bowl-shaped fine resin particles having a concaved section at”(lines 4-5) has been changed to -- bowl-shaped fine resin particles each having a concaved central section at --. The phrase “the bowl-shaped fine resin particle” has been amended to -- each of the bowl-shaped fine resin particles --.

Appl. No. : 10/556,129  
Filed : November 9, 2005

In claim 12, the phrase "said spherical fine resin particle"(line 2) has been amended to -- each of said spherical fine resin particles --. The phrase "said bowl-shaped fine resin particle"(lines 2-3) has been amended to -- each of said bowl-shaped fine resin particles --. The term "the refractive index  $n_y$ ," has been changed to -- a refractive index  $n_y$  --.

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objections.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections to the claims set forth in the Office action. Claims 1-19 have been amended to overcome the objections, and accordingly, it is submitted that claims 1-19 are now allowable.

**CONCLUSION**

In light of the Applicant's amendments to the claims and the foregoing Remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any remaining concerns which might prevent the prompt allowance of the application, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number appearing below.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated June 27, 2007

By:



Katsuhiro Arai  
Registration No. 43,315  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404

3933359  
062607